

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BONN 13869 191627Z

46

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 /026 W  
----- 051327

P 191614Z AUG 76

FM AMEMBASSY BONN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 1209

INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS PRIORITY

USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS PRIORITY

C O N F I D E N T I A L BONN 13869

EXDIS

DEPARTMENT PLEASE PASS PRIORITY TO: SECDEF WASHDC,  
CINC EUR VAHINGEN, CINC USAREUR HEIDELBERG, CINC USAFE  
RAMSTEIN, USDELMC BRUSSELS, USNMR SHAPE AND CINC USNAVEUR LONDON.  
MILITARY ADDEES HANDLE AS SPECAT EXCLUSIVE.

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: MILI, NATO, OTRA, GW

SUBJECT: GAO REVIEW OF AIR DEFENSE SUPPRESSION PROGRAMS

REF: STATE 202261 DTG 132355Z AUG 76

BEGIN SUMMARY: EMBOFF PRESENTED REQUEST FOR FRG ASSIS-  
TANCE TO GAO AUDITORS DIXON AND WOLFF AT THE WORKING  
LEVEL IN THE FEDERAL MINISTRY OF DEFENSE (FMOD),  
POLITICAL/MILITARY OFFICE. THAT SAME DAY MAJGEN BRANDT,  
CHIEF OF THE POLITICAL/MILITARY SECTION OF THE FMOD,  
TELEPHONED THE EMBASSY TO EXPRESS HIS MINISTRY'S "SUR-  
PRISE" AT OUR REQUEST AND TO ASK FOR ADDITIONAL INFOR-  
MATION. MAJGEN BRANDT OBVIOUSLY HOPES WE WILL WITHDRAW  
OUR REQUEST. END SUMMARY.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BONN 13869 191627Z

1. MAJGEN BRANDT BEGAN APOLOGETICALLY BY SAYING THAT

THIS WAS THE FIRST TIME IN FOUR AND ONE-HALF YEARS OF DEALING WITH AMERICAN COLLEAGUES THAT HE WAS UNABLE TO BE FORTHCOMING. HOWEVER, HE FELT THAT HE WOULD BE NEGLECTING HIS DUTY IF HE DID NOT FIRST OF ALL EXPRESS HIS SURPRISE AT THE U.S. REQUEST -- AND WHAT HE KNEW WOULD BE THE SURPRISE OF HIS SUPERIORS WERE THEY TO BE INFORMED OF IT. SECONDLY, WHILE HE DID NOT WISH TO GIVE A BLUNT REJECTION, HE FELT THE FRG AT THE VERY LEAST MUST HAVE FURTHER INFORMATION AS TO THE REASON FOR THE GAO POSING THESE QUESTIONS TO A FOREIGN GOVERNMENT.

2. GENERAL BRANDT NOTED THAT IT WAS ONLY A SHORT TIME AGO THAT SENATOR HUMPHREY HAD RELEASED A GAO STUDY ON THE COMBAT READINESS OF THE U.S. SEVENTH ARMY WHICH HAD CAUSED GENERAL HAIG AND OTHER SENIOR U.S. AND NATO MILITARY COMMANDERS A GOOD DEAL OF TROUBLE (BONN 12058 DTG 161517Z JUL 76). GENERAL BRANDT SAID THAT HE WOULD OF COURSE NOT PRESUME TO QUESTION A U.S. SENATOR'S RIGHT TO USE A U.S. GAO REPORT ON A U.S. SUBJECT FOR WHATEVER PURPOSES THE SENATOR THOUGHT TO BE NECESSARY AND PROPER. ON THE OTHER HAND, GENERAL BRANDT SAID HE CERTAINLY WOULD NOT LIKE TO READ IN THE NEWSPAPER THAT SOME MEMBER OF THE U.S. CONGRESS HAD MADE PUBLIC A REPORT CONCERNING GERMAN MILITARY EFFECTIVENESS, A REPORT WHICH WAS PREPARED IN COOPERATION WITH THE GERMAN GOVERNMENT.

3. IN CLOSING HIS REMARKS GENERAL BRANDT REITERATED THAT HE DID NOT WISH FLATLY TO REFUSE THE U.S. REQUEST. HOWEVER, BEFORE TAKING IT UP WITH HIS SUPERIORS, HE FELT HE MUST HAVE FURTHER INFORMATION AS TO THE REASONS WHY SUCH A REPORT WAS BEING PREPARED AND SOME GUARANTEE THAT THE REPORT WOULD NOT BE MADE PUBLIC.

4. EMBASSY COMMENT: WHILE WE HAD CERTAIN RESERVATIONS ABOUT THE WISDOM OF USGAO INTERROGATING FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS ABOUT THE MILITARY EFFECTIVENESS OF THEIR FORCES (ALBEIT IN THE NATO CONTEXT), EMBASSY BONN DID WISH TO BE HELPFUL. THEREFORE, IT MADE THE REQUEST IN A LOW-CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 BONN 13869 191627Z

KEY FASHION AND AT THE WORKING LEVEL. IN VIEW OF THE UNPRECEDENTED STRONG ADVERSE REACTION FROM MAJGEN BRANDT, WE ADVISE AGAINST ANY ATTEMPT TO PURSUE THE MATTER FURTHER WITH THE FRG. WHILE THE EMBASSY HAS NO EXPERTISE TO OFFER IN THIS HIGHLY TECHNICAL MILITARY FIELD, WE FEEL CONFIDENT THAT THE U.S. MILITARY COMMANDS IN THE FRG ARE MORE THAN COMPETENT TO ANSWER THE GAO QUESTIONS.

HILLENBRAND

NOTE BY OC/T: NOT PASSED ABOVE ADDRESSEES

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** Z  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** AIR DEFENSE, GAO AUDITS, DEFENSIVE CAPABILITIES  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 19 AUG 1976  
**Decaption Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Decaption Note:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** MartinML  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1976BONN13869  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D760318-0663  
**From:** BONN  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1976/newtext/t19760840/aaaabidd.tel  
**Line Count:** 118  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION SS  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** EXDIS  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** EXDIS  
**Reference:** 76 STATE 202261  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** MartinML  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 04 MAR 2004  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <04 MAR 2004 by morefirh>; APPROVED <28 JUL 2004 by MartinML>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
04 MAY 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** GAO REVIEW OF AIR DEFENSE SUPPRESSION PROGRAMS  
**TAGS:** MILI, OTRA, GE, US, NATO, (WOLFF, CHARLES M), (DIXON, LAWRENCE E)  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006